

Group Members (Name and ID):- 1. _____

2. _____

2 Poor	3-4 Fair	5-6 Good	7-8 Very Good	9-10 Excellent
Poor quality. Fulfills at least 20% of requirements	Fair-Average quality. Fulfills at least 50% of requirements	Average quality. Fulfills at least 65% of requirements.	Above average quality. Fulfills 85% of requirements.	Excellent quality. Fulfills 100% of requirements. Additional distinctive features.

A. KNOWLEDGE (80 MARKS)

1. Theory, objective and Literature Review	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Poor coverage of theories and application of CFD. - Poor coverage of literature review - Little demonstration in relating the significant of the theory and literature review to the project. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Fair coverage of theories and application of CFD. - Fair coverage of literature review - Some demonstration in relating the significant of the theory and literature review to the project. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Complete coverage of theories and application of CFD. - Fair coverage of literature review - Some demonstration in relating the significant of the theory and literature review to the project. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Complete coverage of theories and application of CFD. - Complete coverage of literature review - Some demonstration in relating the significant of the theory and literature review to the project. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Complete coverage of theories and application of CFD. - Complete coverage of literature review - Complete demonstration in relating the significant of the theory and literature review to the project.
<i>Grade</i>					
2. Methodology (CFD process)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Geometry and meshing with mesh independency test not presented, - Setting material properties and BCs barely presented, - Simulation procedure not presented 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Geometry and meshing with mesh independency test not complete, - Setting material properties and BCs barely presented, - Simulation procedure not presented 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - complete Geometry, meshing with mesh independency test, - Setting material properties and BCs barely presented, - Simulation procedure not presented 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Geometry and meshing with mesh independency test complete, - Setting material properties and BCs presented, - Simulation procedure not presented 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Geometry and meshing with mesh independency test complete, - Setting material properties and BCs well presented, - Simulation procedure well presented
<i>Grade</i>					

<p>3. Results and discussion</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Poor model validation results with >60% error, - Little contour plots of V, T, P, \dots, are presented - No variation of drag coefficients presented - No 3D result presented 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Fair model validation results with < 50% error, - Some contour plots of V, T, P, \dots, are presented - No variation of drag coefficients presented - No 3D result presented 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Average model validation results with < 30% error, - Some contour plots of V, T, P, \dots, are presented - Some variation of drag coefficients presented - No 3D result presented 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Good model validation results with < 20% error, - Adequate contour plots of V, T, P, \dots, are presented - Adequate variation of drag coefficients presented - At least one 3D result presented 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Excellent model validation results with < 20% error, - Adequate contour plots of V, T, P, \dots, are presented - Adequate variation of drag coefficients presented - More than one 3D results presented
<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Grade</i></p>					
<p>4. Questions and answers</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Answers questions with confidence, - Accurate, complete answers 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Answers a few questions accurately. - No supporting facts. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Answers at least 50% of the questions accurately. - Gives few supporting facts. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Answers 70% of questions with accuracy - Gives some supporting facts. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Answers 85% of the questions accurately - Gives some supporting detail. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Fully, accurately, and confidently answers all questions - Gives many supporting details.
<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Grade</i></p>					
<p>B. TEAMWORK (20 POINTS)</p>					
<p>5. Teamwork During Presentation and Q&A</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Team members supported each other - Team members shared time equally - Team members displayed an equal amount of knowledge 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - A small amount of collaboration among team members. - One tends to dominate during both presentation and Q&A. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Some collaboration, some support and sharing among some team members. - unequal amount of knowledge. - One tends to dominate either the presentation or Q&A. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Good collaboration, support and sharing among most members. - Full complement of team members. - One team member has more knowledge and dominates. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - V good collaboration, support and sharing among the team members on both Q & A and presentation. - Equivalent knowledge level for all of team. - Full complement of all team members. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Excellent collaboration, support and sharing among all of the team members. - Equivalent knowledge level for all. - Full complement of all team members. No one dominates.
<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Grade</i></p>					