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## Chapter Description

- Aims
- To understand the control systems of Material Requirement Planning (MRP), material resource planning (MRPII) and Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP)
- To apply MRP in production system by calculating the requirement of raw materials or parts
- Expected Outcomes
- Able to apply MRP system for production planning
- Able to apply lot sizing techniques in computing the required parts
- Able to differentiate between MRP, MRPII and ERP.
- References
- Heizer, J and Render,B. 2011. Principles of Operations Management, $8^{\text {th }}$ Edition, Pearson Prentice Hall, Inc.


## Introduction

A control system on inventory by using computer

Determine parts, components and materials to be manufactured or ordered

Data system should be accurate

Master production schedule to time phased requirement

Dependent demand

## Dependent demand

The demand is related to each demand of related item

End item demand will determine the demand for others

MRP depends of dependent demand

## Master Production Schedule (MPS)

Is an overall plan for production within a certain period

It is based on customer orders, sales orders and forecast

It is specify what to be made and when to produce it

Normally from MPS will transfer to MRP

Running by weekly compared to MRP by daily

## MRP Structure

Data Files


Source : Heizer \& Render 2011

## Master Planning Schedule

Make to order

Assemble to stock

Make to stock

## Aggregate Production Planning

## Part of production control on;

Time phased
Production rates
Workforce level
Inventory planning
Capacity limitation
Overtime
Subcontract

## Aggregate Production Plan

Production plan based Television Model

| Month | January |  | February |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Television | 1000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weeks | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 14 in | 100 |  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 29 in | 200 |  | 200 |  | 150 |  |  | 150 |
| 32 in | 100 | 100 |  | 100 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 |




## Bill of Materials (BOM)

- Detail list of components or parts to be assembled into a product
- Item above - called parent
- Item below - called children
- Simple and easy to understand


## Bill of Materials (BOM)



## Bill of Materials (BOM)

| Part B: | 2 x number of $\mathrm{As}=$ | (2)(50) $=$ | 00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Part C: | $3 \times$ number of As = | (3)(50) = | 300 |
| Part D: | $2 x$ number of Bs $+2 x$ number of $F$ | (2)(100) |  |
| Part E: | $2 x$ number of $B s$ <br> + 2 x number of $C$ | $(2)(100)+$ | $500$ |
| Part F: | 2 x number of Cs = | (2)(150) $=$ | 300 |
| Part G: | 1 x number of Fs = | $(1)(300)=$ | 300 |

## Time Phased



## Gross Requirement

- To know how many parts required by the production
- When the ordered need to released?
- When the ordered should be received?
- As example if A required in week 8 , the product need to be assembled in week 7 with 1 week lead time.
- This is called lead time offset or time phasing


## Gross Requirement

- Let say $A=50$ units
- $\mathrm{B}=2 \times 50=100$ units
- $\mathrm{C}=3 \times 50=150$ units
- Therefore the production has to provide components B and $C$ one week before the required date of $A$.
- The right order quantity for B and C are determined by the order released of the parent(s)

| TABLE 14.3 G | Gross Material Requirements Plan for 50 Awesome Speaker Kits (As) with Order Release Dates Also Shown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WEEK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | LEAD |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | TIME |
| A. Required date Order release date |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50 | 50 | 1 week |
| B. Required date Order release date |  |  |  |  | 100 |  | 100 |  | 2 weeks |
| C. Required date Order release date |  |  |  |  |  | 150 | 150 |  | 1 week |
| E. Required date Order release date |  |  | 200 | 300 | 200 | 300 |  |  | 2 weeks |
| F. Required date Order release date |  |  | 300 |  |  | 300 |  |  | 3 weeks |
| D. Required date Order release date |  | 600 | 600 | 200 | 200 |  |  |  | 1 week |
| G. Required date Order release date | 300 |  | 300 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 weeks |





| Lot-forLot | 1 | 20 | - | - | 1 | C | Gross Requirements |  |  |  |  |  |  | $120^{4}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Scheduled Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Projected On Hand 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Net Requirements |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Planned Order Receipts |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Planned Order Releases |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |  |  |

## Lot Sizing techniques

- Lot for lot - also considered as a chase demand
- Economic order quantity (EOQ)
- Period order quantity
- Varies quantity with consistent time interval
- Use EOQ for time between orders (TBO)
- Depends on coverage period


## Lot for Lot

|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gross requirement | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 5}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{3 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 0}$ |
| Schedule receipt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Projected in hand -40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net requirement | 0 | 35 | 45 | 0 | 15 | 45 | 35 | 0 | 35 | 60 |
| Plan order receipts |  | 35 | 45 | 0 | 15 | 45 | 35 | 0 | 35 | 60 |
| Plan order release | 35 | 45 | 0 | 15 | 45 | 35 | 0 | 35 | 60 |  |

## Lot for Lot

No on-hand inventory is carried through the system Total holding cost $=$ RMO

There are seven setups for this item in this plan Total setup cost $=7 \times$ RM100 $=$ RM700

## Economic Order Quantity (EOQ)

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $\mathbf{8}$ | 9 | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gross requirement | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 5}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{3 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 0}$ |
| Schedule receipt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Projected in hand -40 |  |  | 43 | 76 | 61 | 16 | 16 | 59 | 24 | 24 |
| Net requirement | 0 | 35 | 2 |  |  |  | 19 |  |  | 36 |
| Plan order receipts |  | 78 | 78 |  |  |  | 78 |  |  | 78 |
| Plan order release | 78 | 78 |  |  |  | 78 |  |  | 78 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Holding cost = RM2/week; Setup cost = RM100 Average weekly gross requirement $=30 \mathrm{EOQ}=78$

## Economic Order Quantity (EOQ)

Annual demand (30 x52 weeks) $=1,560$
Total cost $=$ setup cost $\boldsymbol{+}$ holding cost
Total cost $=(1,560 / 78) x$ RM100 $+(78 / 2) x($ RM2 $x 52$ weeks)

## Total cost $=$ RM6056

Cost for 10 weeks = RM6056 x (10 weeks/52 weeks) = RM1165

## Period Order Quantity (POQ)

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $\mathbf{8}$ | 9 | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Gross requirement | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 5}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{3 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 0}$ |
| Schedule receipt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Projected in hand -40 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 80 | 35 |  |  | 60 |
| Net requirement | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 |
| Plan order receipts |  | 80 |  |  | 95 |  |  |  | 95 |  |
| Plan order release | 80 |  |  | 95 |  |  |  | 95 |  |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{POQ} & =\mathrm{EOQ} / \text { Average weekly usage } \\
& =78 / 30 \\
& =2.6 \\
& =3 \text { weeks period }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Period Order Quantity (POQ)

Setups = $3 x$ RM100 $=$ RM300
Holding cost $=(45+80+35+60)$ units $x$ RM2 $=$ RM440 Total cost $=$ RM300 + RM440 $=$ RM740

## Lot sizing

- Lot for lot = RM700
- EOQ = RM775
- POQ = RM740
- Therefore, the minimum is lot for lot method.
$\checkmark$ Recompute the lot size when there is a change on order quantity
$\square$ Lot sizes should be always recomputed whenever there is a lot size or order quantity change
$\square$ In practice, this results in system nervousness and instability
$\square$ Lot-for-lot is suitable during good economy.
$\square$ Lot sizes can be changed to allow for any adjustments due to parts management.


## MRPII

- Is called as material resource planning
- Is an extension of MRP
- Integrated method of operational and financial planning for manufacturing companies
- Is considered as a closed loop manufacturing resource planning

|  |  | Week |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
|  | Units (lead time 1 week) |  |  |  | 100 |
|  | Labor: 10 hours each |  |  |  | 1,000 |
|  | Machine: 2 hours each |  |  |  | 200 |
|  | Payable: \$0 each |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | Units (lead time 2 weeks, |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 each required) |  |  | 200 |  |
|  | Labor: 10 hours each |  |  | 2,000 |  |
|  | Machine: 2 hours each |  |  | 400 |  |
|  | Payable: Raw material at \$5 each |  |  | 1,000 |  |
| C. | Units (lead time 4 weeks, |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 each required) | 300 |  |  |  |
|  | Labor: 2 hours each | 600 |  |  |  |
|  | Machine: 1 hour each | 300 |  |  |  |
|  | Payable: Raw material at \$10 each | 3,000 |  |  |  |

## Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP)

- Is an integration system within the organisation
- Sharing data bases to related department
- Easy and fast to access related data
- Synchronized reporting and automation.
- Real time reporting
- Save time
- Fast decision


## Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP)

- Integration of various modules
- MRP
- Finance
- Human resource
- Manufacturing
- Inventory control
- Material purchasing


## Enterprise Resource Planning Systems



## Advantages of ERP system

- Easily to monitor the current information
- Sharing databases to others
- Effective communication through reliable information
- Effective measurement company performance
- Immediately accessible


## Differences

| MRP | MRPII | ERP |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| To know the available parts <br> in the warehouse | Include the machine <br> capacity scheduling <br> Quality assurance | Include all organisation <br> system such as; <br> Human Resource |
| parts <br> To know when to order <br> parts |  | Financial system <br> Material management <br> Procurement |

